## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ANDREA JENKINS-BROWN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

No. 03:14-cv-01610-ST

Plaintiff,

v.

LIBERTY ACQUISITIONS SERVICING, LLC, and THOMAS L. POTTER, IV, an individual,

ORDER

Defendants.

HERNANDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Stewart issued a Findings and Recommendation (#23) on March 5, 2015, in which she recommends that this Court deny Defendants' motion to compel arbitration. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record *de novo*. <u>United States v.</u>

<u>Reyna-Tapia</u>, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); <u>see also United States v. Bernhardt</u>,

## 1 - ORDER

840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (de novo review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

## CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Stewart's Findings & Recommendation [23]. Accordingly, Defendants' motion to compel arbitration [13] is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2015.

United States District Judge